

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/700,066	03/20/2001	Ruediger Bartz	951/49329	9690
23911 7	7590 02/06/2004		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			BROWN, VERNAL U	
INTELLECTU	JAL PROPERTY GROUP	•		
P.O. BOX 143	00	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			2635	
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Supplimental	09/700,066	BARTZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vernal U Brown	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daywill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 5-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
) Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are rejected.						
· _						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $f i$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No				
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ed. e) (to a provisional application)				
since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro	·					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>12</u> . Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/700,066

Art Unit: 2635

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communication filed on October 15, 2003 and also serve the purpose of correcting the error made in mailing a notice of allowance and a rejection letter in response to the communication filed on October 15, 2003.

The notice of allowance mailed on the January 2, 2004 was in error and is therefore null and void.

A new response period for reply is set to expires 3 months from the mailing date of this action.

Response to Amendment

The examiner has acknowledged the amendment of claim 5 and the submission of the new specification.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/700,066

Art Unit: 2635

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. U.S Patent 4673921 in view of Toal, Jr. U.S Patent 4797671 and further in view of Knipers U.S Patent 4742356.

Regarding claim 5, Saito et al. teaches a mobile transponder (figure 1A) for a vehicle with an optical indicator (col. 3 lines 35-36), the mobile transponder comprises: evaluation logic (21) for providing output information concerning direction and location of the vehicle (col. 3 lines 30-35) and a display for displaying information concerning the location of the vehicle (col. 4 lines 44-55). Saito et al. teaches an antenna (17) for recognizing the signal from the vehicle (col. 3 line 35) but is however silent on teaching a plurality of antennas having three-dimensional directional characteristics for recognizing a signal from the vehicle. Toal, Jr. in an art related invention in the same field of endeavor of motor vehicle locator system teaches a vehicle location system in which the transponder (transmitter) transmits omnidirectional signal (col. 3 line 67-col. 4 line 2) which is considered three-dimensional because it transmit signal in all direction (x, y, and z) but is also silent on teaching the use of a plurality of antennas. Knipers in an art related invention for determining the location of an object teaches the use of a plurality of three-dimensional antennas for determining the location of an object (col. 2 lines 45-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a plurality of antennas having three-dimensional directional characteristics for recognizing a signal from the vehicle in Saito et al. as evidenced by Toal, Jr. in view of Knipers because Saito et al. suggests an antenna recognizing the signal from the vehicle and Toal, Jr. teaches vehicle location system in which the transponder (transmitter) transmits omni directional signal and Knipers further

Art Unit: 2635

teaches the use of a plurality of three-dimensional antennas for determining the location of an object.

Claim 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. U.S Patent 4673921 in view of Toal, Jr. U.S Patent 4797671 in view of Knipers U.S Patent 4742356. and further in view of Culpepper et al. U.S 5657026.

Regarding claims 6-7, Saito et al. in view of Toal, Jr. in view of Knipers teaches providing location information (U.S Patent 4673921, col. 3 lines 33-36) but is silent on teaching providing an indication of the distance of the vehicle from the mobile transponder. Culpepper et al. in an art related Beacon Signal Receiving System teaches providing an indication of the distance of the vehicle from the mobile transponder (col. 3 lines 24-30) in order to provide additional location information to the user.

It would have been obvious tone of ordinary skill in the art to provide an indication of the distance of the vehicle from the mobile transponder in Saito et al. in view of Toal, Jr. in view of Knipers as evidenced by Culpepper et al. because Saito et al. in view of Toal, Jr. in view of Knipers suggests providing location information and Culpepper et al. teaches providing an indication of the distance of the vehicle from the mobile transponder in order to provide additional location information to the user.

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

Mutil Hold

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vernal U Brown whose telephone number is 703-305-3864. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30 AM-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 703-305-4704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

February 3, 2004